

Techniques de réécriture

TD n°2 : Termination by extensions, RPO and KBO

Exercise 1 :

Prove that for every $n, k > 0, p \geq 0$, the rewrite system with one rule $f^n(g^k(x)) \rightarrow g^k(f^p(x))$ terminates.

Exercise 2 :

1. Prove that those functions terminate :

let rec Ack = function

(0, x) -> x + 1

| (x, 0) -> Ack(x - 1, 1)

| (x, y) -> Ack(x - 1, Ack(x, y - 1));;

let rec f = function

(0, x) -> 1

| (x, 0) -> 1

| (x, y) -> f(x - 1, x - 1) + 2 * f(x - 1, y - 1) + f(y - 1, y - 1);;

2. What does that prove about the following rewrite systems :

$$\{a(0, x) \rightarrow s(x) ; a(s(x), 0) \rightarrow a(x, s(0)) ; a(s(x), s(y)) \rightarrow a(x, a(s(x), y))\}$$

$$\{f(0, x) \rightarrow s(0) ; f(x, 0) \rightarrow s(0) ; f(s(x), s(y)) \rightarrow g(f(x, x), h(f(x, y)), f(y, y))\}$$

3. Prove that those rewrite systems terminate by using a RPO. Idem by using lexicographic and multiset extensions.

Exercise 3 :

Heracles vs Lernaean Hydra. The Hydra is a term on the alphabet $\mathcal{F} = \{n(*), h(0)\}$. When Heracles cuts a head, an arbitrary number of heads grows back as follows :

$$n(x_1, \dots, x_p, n(y_1, \dots, y_q, h), z_1, \dots, z_r) \rightarrow n(x_1, \dots, x_p, n(y_1, \dots, y_q), h, \dots, h, z_1, \dots, z_r)$$

Heracles wins when there is no head to cut i.e. the term representing the Hydra is irreducible. Prove that Heracles always wins i.e. this rewrite system is terminating.

Fix $>$ a strict order on \mathcal{F} . A weight function is a function $w : \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.

We say that w is admissible w.r.t. $>$ if :

- $\exists w_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ such that $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, w(x) = w_0$ and for all constant $c, w(c) \geq w_0$.
- if $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is of arity 1 and $w(f) = 0$ then f is maximal for $>$.

We extend a weight function on $T(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$ by : $w(t) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} w(x). |t|_x + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} w(f). |t|_f$.

Fix a weight function w admissible w.r.t. $>$. The Knuth-Bendix order $<_{kbo}$ induced on $T(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$ is defined by $s <_{kbo} t$ if $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, |s|_x \leq |t|_x$ and if one of the following holds :

(KBO1) $w(s) < w(t)$

(KBO2) $w(s) = w(t)$ and one of the following holds

(KBO2a) $\exists f \in \mathcal{F}, x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $k > 0$ such that $s = x$ and $t = f^k(x)$

(KBO2b) $\exists f > g$ such that $s = g(s_1, \dots, s_m)$ and $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$

(KBO2c) $\exists f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i \leq n$ such that $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n), t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1 = t_1, \dots, s_{i-1} = t_{i-1}$ and $s_i <_{kbo} t_i$

Exercise 4 :

1. Assume that f is of arity 1, $w(f) = 0$ and that there is g with $f \not\preceq g$. Prove that $<_{kbo}$ does not satisfy the subterm property.
2. Assume that $w(s) = w(t)$ and that t is a strict subterm of s . Prove that there f of arity 1 with $w(f) = 0$ and $k \geq 1$ such that $s = f^k(t)$.
3. Prove that $<_{kbo}$ is a strict order. *Spoil : transitivity is hard.*
4. Prove that $<_{kbo}$ is a rewrite order, i.e., closed under context and substitution.
5. Prove that $<_{kbo}$ has the subterm property, and so is a simplification order.

Exercise 5 :

Show that you cannot prove the termination of the rewrite system defining the Ackermann's function using a KBO.

Exercise 6 :

Show that you cannot prove the termination of :

$$\{f(f(x, y), z) \rightarrow f(x, f(y, z)) ; f(y, f(x, z)) \rightarrow f(x, x)\}$$

using a RPO or a KBO.

Exercise 7 :

1. Prove the termination of :

$$\{s(x) + (y + z) \rightarrow x + (s(s(y)) + z) ; s(x) + (y + (z + w)) \rightarrow x + (z + (y + w))\}$$

using a KBO.

2. Show that you cannot prove its termination using a RPO or a polynomial interpretation on integers.